

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Application No.: 09/997,103

Docket No.: PD05982AM

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-31 have been cancelled. Original claims 32-48 remain pending in the instant application. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ewing, U.S. Patent No. 5,949,974. Claims 1-31 have been cancelled without prejudice. Hence, this rejection has been obviated.

Claims 32-48 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark, U.S. Patent No. 6,678,528, in view of Pugh et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,414,754.

Clark discloses a system and method to allow a wireless handset (basically a cellular telephone) to be notified of calls placed to the landline telephone of the same end user (col 1, lines 14-65). All message passing in Clark is directed to forming a bridge between a PSTN and wireless network, e.g., GSM, CDMA, etc., for passing of this call data, referred to in Clark as "a call processing event." Clark does not teach, suggest or disclose any power management or more specifically, alarm states of backup power supplying an "access device" of Applicants' invention. One skilled in the art would not look to Clark for power backup alarm state teachings, as Clark is silent on this point.

Similarly, Pugh et al. does teach detection of an off-hook state. However, the on/off hook state detection of Pugh is used for providing services such as teleconferencing to end users. Pugh does not address the field of power management, backup power supplies, or notification

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Application No.: 09/997,103

Docket No.: PD05982AM

thereof. Thus, one skilled in the art would not look to Pugh for power backup alarm state teaching, as Pugh is silent on this point. Further, one skilled in the art would not have any motivation to combine Clark and Pugh.

For at least the above stated reasons, the rejection to claim 32-48 have been obviated, and should be withdrawn.

As originally presented, claim 32-48 are believed to be in condition for allowance.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

Dated: May 4, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Esteban A. Rockett  
Registration No.: 55,578  
Motorola, Inc.  
Attorneys for Applicants